

## Politics of Coalition in India

Farooq Ahmad Malik<sup>1</sup> and Bilal Ahmad Malik<sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

---

The paper wants to highlight the evolution of coalition governments in india. The evaluation of coalition politics and an analysis of how far coalition remains dynamic yet stable. How difficult it is to make policy decisions when coalition of ideologies forms the government. More often coalitions are formed to prevent a common enemy from the government and capturing the power. Equally interesting is the fact a coalition devoid of ideological mornings survives till the enemy is humbled. While making political adjustments, principles may have to be set aside and in this process ideology becomes the first victim. Once the euphoria victory is over, differences come to the surface and the structure collapses like a pack of cards. On the grounds of research, facts and history one has to acknowledge india lives in politics of coalition.

---

**Keywords:** india, government, coalition, withdrawal, ideology, partner, alliance, politics, union

### Introduction

Coalition is a phenomenon of a multi-party government where a number of minority parties join hands for the purpose of running the government which is otherwise not possible. A coalition is formed when many groups come into common terms with each other and define a common programme or agenda on which they work. A coalition government always remains in pulls and pressures particularly in a multinational country like india.

---

<sup>1</sup> Lecturer political science school education department Kashmir India

<sup>2</sup> Bilal Ahmad Malik is Post Graduate History Indra Gandhi National Open University India

The coalition partners always go for bargaining in the given situation and never give up unless it breaks or makes. Hence, coalitions often remain on tender hooks unless every partner is taken on board. No partner comes on board unless given a fair share from the cake.

The term 'coalition' is derived from the Latin word 'coalition' which is the verbal substantive coalescere. Co means together and alescere means to go or to grow together. According to the dictionary meaning, coalition means an act of coalescing, or uniting into one body, a union of persons, states or an alliance. It is a combination of a body or parts into one whole. In the strict political sense the word 'coalition' is used for an alliance or temporary union for joint action of various powers or States and also of the union into a single government of distinct parties or members of distinct parties.(1)

"A coalition government is a combination of heterogeneous socio-political elements which are susceptible to political turmoil and storms emerging from changing socio-political conditions and compulsions".(2)

After independence, The largest democracy has largely been ruled or governed by the single largest party that is , of course, Indian national congress. Congress party since its inception in 1885 has evolved as the most organized and vibrant force through every nook and corner of india. Since congress party has faced a lot of music during India's freedom struggle from the White men not to exclude an ordinary Indian who might have faced the British axe and not just music. Needless, to say the very existence of congress goes to the the British account. Bipin Chandra Beautifully articulates in his book "History of modern India " page 208 if Hume wanted to use the congress as a 'safety valve', the early congress leaders hoped to use him as a lightning conductor'. Once, called safety valve finally made British pay the huge price they had borrowed from the India in the name of trade centuries ago and finally returned back to India in 1947 with unfortunate partition. The organized congress party ruled India with no parallel or competition. The charisma of Nehru, Patel, and Azad had given congress the yield that congress enjoyed until 1977.

Unlike general perception, coalition in politics is not a new concept for Indian politics coalitionism as a form of government is common and widely practiced in Europe.

Indian too has accumulated not inconsiderable amount of experience in the form of governing arrangement. Undivided India got its first experience of coalition government in 1937 when the government of India act, 1935 became operative. At the time Jinnah asked for a coalition consisting of congress and Muslim league in UP but congress the party holding majority did not entertain this demand. Mohammad Ali Jinnah at that time argued that in India coalition was the only respectable device to give to the Muslims a fair share in governance in other states like NWFP and Punjab congress formed coalitions with other regional parties.(3)

First experience of coalition in free India at the union level goes back to 1977 when non congress forces united under the leadership of Morarji Desai in the name of janta government. Ram Monhar Lohia In 1963 had propounded the strategy of Anti-Congressism or non congressism. He was of the opinion that since in the past three general elections the Congress had won with a thumping majority, there was a feeling among the masses that the Congress could not be defeated and it had come to stay in power for ever. Lohia invited all the Opposition parties to field a single candidate against Congress candidates so that the non congress votes won't get divided and common masses could come out of the illusion that congress can't be defeated. This formula of Dr. Lohia saw success in the 1967 general elections with the Congress party defeated in seven States and Samyuktha Vidhayak Dal governments formed by the Opposition parties of the time. Lohia's formula sowed the seeds for coalition politics in india. The first coalition was formed under the experience of Morarji Desai .He was the oldest man to become prime minister of India. The four party janta government remained in power for about two years i.e, 1977-1979.the power struggle struggle in the government did not allow Desai to continue anymore. Once the no confidence motion against Desai was discussed in the lower house mr.Desai tendered his resignation. The Janta government collapsed like a house of cards in July 1979 when floodgates of defections opened with the departure of various group leaders like George Fernandes ,H.N.Bahuguna ,Biju patnaik and mudhu Limaye.(4)

Second coalition, a new coalition was formed with mr.Charan Singh as the prime minister in October 1979.(5)He was the only prime minister who didn't face the parliament. This coalition had the support of CPI(M) and the CPI. There was pro west Fernandes and pro soviet Bahuguna faction in the coalition. On paper charan Singh had the absolute majority.

But, once President asked him to seek a vote of confidence in the house within three weeks time. Mr.Charan Singh tendered his resignation before facing the house. Hence became the first Indian prime minster who did not face the house.

Third coalition was formed in the name of national front under the leadership of V.P.Singh in December 1989.V.P.Singh government was supported by BJP and the then single largest party congress which did not form the govt. as a political strategy. National front government had also the support of CPI,CPI(M),The RSP and the Forward Block. But, the honeymoon period was short lived when BJP withdrew its support to V.P.Singh on the eve of Advani's arrest on the backdrop of his Rath Yatra from somnath to Gujarat despite BJP'S warning to withdraw support if Advani is arrested. Though national front government remained in power only for 11 months. The then Indian president R.Venketaraman observes, "it is my impression that if V.P. Singh had headed a government with a clear majority instead of depending on a conglomeration of parties mutually destructive to each other, he would have given a good administration to the country. Being dependent on parties with different objectives and ideologies, he could not with stand pressures from discordant groups"(6)

Fourth coalition,V.P.Singh's coalition government was defeated by 142 votes for and 346 against the confidence motion on nov.7,1990 in the Lok Sabha.By nov.8,all major political parties declined to shoulder the responsibility for administration. Chandra Shekhar had already staked his claim to form the government with the help of the congress(i),the AIADMK,BSP,Muslim league ,j&k national conference ,Kerala congress(M),Shiromni Akali Dal (panthic) and a few independent members.(7) Chandra shekhar formed the government on November 11 1990 despite the criticism that defectors should not have been invited to form government. Chandra Shekhar offered his resignation on 6<sup>th</sup> march 1991 and advised for dissolution of house on the issue of maintaining surveillance of Rajiv Gandhi by some Haryana policemen. The then President R. Venkataraman's take on the leadership of Chandra shekhar government "during his few months in office ,he had handled parliament competently and was responsive to suggestions from the opposition. He was under constant strain from the pressures of the congress party ,which I am afraid ,assumed that it was the real government and Chandra shekhar only a proxy.

The office hungry coterie of the congress party used to misguide Rajiv Gandhi that Chandra Shekhar's attempts at building up a good image could prove deleterious to his image.... I realized that unequal combinations are always disadvantageous to the weaker side."(8)

Fifth coalition was formed under the leadership of H.D.Deve Gowda in the banner of united front government. The United Front was a coalition government of 13 political parties including outside support of congress,CPI, Other members of the front included the Samajwadi Party, Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam, Asom Gana Parishad, Tamil Maanila Congress, Communist Party of India and Telugu Desam Party. The united front government headed by H.D.DEVE Gowda was like a chariot being pulled at times in different direction by 13 horses.(9) The Congress revoked its support to Gowda amidst discontent over communication between the coalition and the Congress. Hence, the united front government lost the vote of confidence with 190 in favour and 338 against.

Sixth coalition was headed by I.K.Gujral from 21<sup>st</sup> April 1997 to 19<sup>th</sup> march 1998 as a consensus candidate between others that included Lalu Prasad Yadav, Mulayam Singh Yadav , INC,left parties and others'. The INC finally withdrew support from his government on 28 November after Gujral sent Kesri a letter saying he would not dismiss any DMK leaders. Gujral resigned following the withdrawal and sent a letter to President K. R. Narayanan that read: "My government has lost its majority and does not want to continue in office on moral grounds" .(10) The president accepted the resignation, but asked for Gujral to stay on in an interim capacity. The president finally dissolved parliament on 4 December once formation of government by any party did not materialize.

Seventh coalition was led by A.B.Vajpayee from 19 march 1998 to 10th oct.1999.the BJP led coalition was supported by AIADMK, BJD, Akali Dal, Shiv sena,PMK,TRC and others. This coalition of two many parties did not last long since the demands of AIADMK were not met including the demand of Jayalalitha to sack defence minister George Fernandes.It was but natural that she would withdraw her support and of course she did not surprise anyone. Once mr.Vaypayee moved confidence motion it was lost by one vote i.e,269 in favour and 270 against. Hence, mr.Vaypayeee tendered his resignation to the president of india and came to an end what was a shaky coalition.

India today observes :“The BJP won 182 seats to retain its status as the single largest party in the 12<sup>th</sup> Lok Sabha...if President K.R.Narayana invites Vajpayee to head the next government,the BJP leader will be a prisoner of his 13 disparate pre-poll allies who hold 73 seats and a score of post poll friends who will inevitably extract a steep price for their support.”(11).India then appears to have entered a long season of coalition governments.(12) “ if the purpose of forming a coalition is to topple the existing government without any common programme of action or approach,the Coalition,however,broadbased or cohesive it may be,would not provide for stability and would in its turn be the victim of the same process of defections”. As the former Governor of Punjab D.C.Pavate vialised in his book “Coalition Governments,Their Problems and Prospects “,Sahni,n.1,p.18.

Eighth coalition was formed after the 13th Lok sabha elections under the prime ministership of A.B.Vajpayee from 11th October 1999 to 21st may 2004 under the flag of national democratic alliance(NDA) led by BJP and supported by 24 political parties including AIADMK,Telgu desam party,NC,trinimool congress,shiv sena ,shiromani akali Dal and others.the coalition led by mr.Vajpayee had the support of different shades of opinion.It was a coalition of ideologies,cultures,social fabrics ,religions and above all coalition of regions yet NDA was dominated by the upper and middle castes. “the presence of the BJP as the strong pillar in the coalition ,the charismatic leadership of A.B.Vajpayee and the skilfull way in which diverse interests were accommodated ensured the stability of the coalition government”. (13)

Nineth coalition was formed in may 2004 under the leadership of a stalwart economist Dr.Manmohan singh in the name of united progressive alliance supported by Nationalist Congress Party with 9 MPs,Rashtriya Lok Dal with 5 MPs,Jammu & Kashmir National Conference with 3 MPs,Indian Union Muslim League with 3 MPs,Kerala Congress (Mani) with 1 MP and others including left parties. Outside support was given by Samajwadi Party with 22 MPs,Bahujan Samaj Party with 21 MPs,Rashtriya Janata Dal with 4 MPs.

On 8 July 2008, the national media had the breaking news that Prakash Karat, the general secretary of the Communist Party of India (Marxist) (CPI , announced that the Left Front would be withdrawing support over Indo-U.S. nuclear deal.Despite many ifs , buts, oughs the government survived amid controversies over “vote for cash” scam which is never a bombshell in coalitions.

The tenth coalition was formed by UPA 2<sup>nd</sup> in may 2009 headed by Dr.Manmohan singh as prime minister for 2<sup>nd</sup> term after 15<sup>th</sup> Lok Sabha elections chaired by Shri Sonia Gandhi as was done in 2004 . India held general elections to the 15th Lok Sabha in five phases between 16 April 2009 and 13 May 2009. With an electorate of 714 million.(14) (larger than the electorate of the European Union and United States combined).(15)

UPA 2<sup>nd</sup> was short of 10 seats to reach the magical number of 272 as required under rules to form government. UPA 2<sup>nd</sup> had the outside support of Samajwadi party with 23 mps, Bahujan Samaj party with 21,Rashtrya Janta Dal with 4mps, Janta Dal secular with 3 mps, others with 3mps and others.

Due to the fact that UPA was able to get 262 seats — just short of 10 seats for a majority — all the external support came from parties who gave unconditional support to Manmohan Singh and the UPA. The Janata Dal (Secular), the Rashtriya Janata Dal, the Bahujan Samaj Party and the Samajwadi Party all decided to do so to keep out any possibility of a BJP government in the next 5 years.(16)

### **Coalition of Criminals**

The 15th Lok Sabha saw many MPs with pending criminal charges. At least 150 MPs have criminal cases against them, with 73 serious cases ranging from rape to murder.(17) While BJP has 42 MPs with criminal charges, Congress has 41. From UP, out of 80 seats, 31 have criminal cases.(18) The previous Lok Sabha had 128 MPs with criminal cases. "UP keeps its shame record: 31 MPs accused of crimes".(19)

An Analysis on 2009 elections for better understanding of 2014 elections for the relevance of coalition: How india voted

This election defied the predictions made by pre-poll predictions and exit polls and gave a clear mandate to the incumbent Congress government. According to many analysts after the election, many factors can be attributed for a landslide. According to the National Election Study 2009, published in the The Hindu newspaper after the election, the victory to the UPA government is attributed to saturation of caste-based identity politics, the focus on good governance and BJP's limitations, gave Congress the edge.(20)

The tenth coalition was more often in the news headlines for wrong reasons for past and present sins that includes 2G scam, coal mines scam, MGNREGA scam, common wealth games scam and what not !!.Notwithstanding the scams and withdrawal of support by the allies UPA 2 still managed to complete the term.

An analysis of how coalition partners withdrew the support to UPA 2.

On 18 September 2012, TMC Chief Mamta Banerjee, announced her decision to withdraw support to the UPA after the TMC's demands of rollback of reforms including FDI in retail, increase in the price of diesel and limiting the number of subsidized cooking gas cylinders for households, were not met.(21,22).

Likewise The DMK pulled out of the UPA government on 19 March 2013 over the issue of a draft resolution at the United Nations Human Rights Council of the alleged human rights' violations of Sri Lankan Tamils.(23).

Others who left the yoke of UPA include peoples democratic party, Jharkhand Vikas Morcha , All India Majlis-e-Ittehadul Muslimeen, Pattali Makkal Katchi and others on many issues needless to delineate upon.the UPA 2nd played its full innings despite BJP's criticism that 'UPA is 100 not out in scams'.What makes these coalitions work is the established fact that there are no permanent enemies or friends in politics, if there is anything permanent that is interest.

### **Relevance of Coalition in 2014**

The billion dollar question stands who would be on the throne after 16<sup>th</sup> Lok Sabha elections?The answer depends upon how india votes in 2014 ? How political forces and parties motivate electorate ? How people take on the leading issues that almost engulfs the country in.There is almost an open secret that next government shall also be the coalition one .The options could be NDA,UPA,THIRD FRONT OR what others call FIRST FRONT.The issues that hit the canvassing are corruption,communal riots,secularism ,development,national security etc.The development syndrome and secularism might fetch the ground but who is the custodian of the duo? The 1984 operation blue star or the 2002 communal riots in Gujrat?



The wave that is called "MODI WAVE" could also turn out to be a "MEDIA WAVE". Because, everything we know is what media depicts to us! Everything we see is what media shows us! everything we listen to is what media makes on air? So, one can say "politics is like weather . Every one talks about it ,but few understand it".The established unfortunate fact with Indian polity is the intellectuals or so called intellectuals do not caste vote! As Robert Dahl has rightly said "in politics, refusing to decide 'is simply deciding to allow others to decide for you"(modern political analysis 1991). Since article 326 of Indian constitution gives every adult Indian or 18 years Indian adult franchise to decide for him/her and the country.Those eligible voters are more than 81 crore ,should not they decide before others decide for them! Despite all this the perennial truth if the 11<sup>th</sup> coalition will unfold in may 2014 in india.

### **Different Surveys Also Presume Coalition Government**

According to Times Now-CVoter survey, the Congress is projected to get only 89 seats while the BJP is likely to cross 200 seats in the coming general election. (the times of india 13 February 2014 ).

The Pew Research Centre predicts More than three-fifths of Indian voters favour the opposition BJP in the upcoming general elections as against less than one-fifth for the ruling Congress. The Pew Research Centre survey was conducted between December 7, 2013 and January 12, 2014 and included face-to-face interviews with 2,464 randomly selected adults, in states and territories that are home to roughly 91 per cent of the Indian population.(24)If surveys are made irrelevant by the statement of Bismarck "people never lie so much as after a hunt, during a war or before an election".But,the coalition politics is still relevant.

### **Conclusion**

India finally seems to have made a perennial entry in the world of coalitions. The above analysis clearly depicts how hung parliaments become norm in the largest democracy with fragile or enduring impact. The crumbling of political parties creates gloomy electoral circumstances in which hung parliaments become rule rather than an exception.

Nevertheless, Indian democratic politics so far has been lacking in the aptitude and the culture of coalition forming and coalition maintaining succession of unhinged coalitions or minority governments has made its own contribution to the crisis of the State as the state is inextricably mixed with the webs of government.

## References

- W.H.Ricker ,the theory of political coalitions(New Heaven,1962),page 12.  
 The Indian journal of political science,vol.62,nov.4,December 2001,page 587.  
 Acme International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research ISSN : 2320 - 236X , Vol - I, Issue - III ● March – 2013 page 57.  
 Babu Lal Fadia,presure groups in idian politics(New Delhi,1980),page 195-203.  
 Saraswati Haider ,“ coalition governments or instability”,September 1997 page 24.  
 R.Venkataraman ,my presidential years (New Delhi,1994),,page 437  
 ibid. page 443.  
 ibid. page 482.  
 Agarala Esware Reddi , “coalition government “,politics india (april 1997)page 27.  
 (^ Burns, John F (29 November 1997). "Premier of India quits, deepening political bedlam". The New York Times. Retrieved on 23 feb.2014.)  
 Bhabani Sen Gupta ,india:problems of Governance (Delhi,1996)page 382.  
 India today,march 16,1998,page 13.  
 Dr.B.L.Fadia “Indian government and politics”Sahitya Bhawan Publications:AGRA 2008 page 743.  
 ^ Shashi Tharoor (2009-04-16). "The recurring miracle of Indian democracy". New Straits Times.  
 ^ EU (25 states) electorate=350mn <<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/europe/3715399.stm>> , US electorate=212 mm <[http://elections.gmu.edu/preliminary\\_vote\\_2008.html](http://elections.gmu.edu/preliminary_vote_2008.html)>  
 ^ "Scramble by rivals to support victorious UPA". Chennai, India: The Hindu. 19 May 2009. Retrieved on 5 march 2014.  
 "150 new lawmakers accused of breaking law". [Ibnlive.in.com](http://ibnlive.in.com). 2009-05-17. Retrieved in march 2014.  
 ^ "UP keeps its shame record: 31 MPs accused of crimes". CNN-IBN. 18 May 2009. Retrieved in march 2014.  
 CNN-IBN. 18 May 2009. Retrieved 05 march 2014.  
 ^ ("How India Voted: 2009 Overview". Hindu Newspaper. 19 May 2009. Retrieved in march 2014.)  
 ^ "Rupee falls after TMC pulls out from government". Monetcontrol.com. Retrieved 9 march 2014.  
 Jump up^ "Mamata's party ready to meet President tomorrow to officially quit UPA". NDTV. Retrieved 9 march 2014.  
 ^ Jump up to:a b DMK pulls out of UPA govt over Sri Lanka Tamils issue - Times Of India. The Economic Times feb.27, 2014.

## Other References

- ^ Ramesh, Randeep (16 April 2009). "In the Indian election, 700m voters, 28 days, 250,000 police: world's biggest democratic poll begins". London: The Guardian. Retrieved in march 2014.
- Raj, Hans, *Indian Government and Politics*, Cosmos Publications, New Delhi, 1992.
- Ricker, W.H. *The Theory of Political Coalitions*, New Heaven. 1962.
- Qasim Mir. *My Life and Times* (New Delhi,1992).
- .Dr.B.L.Fadia "Indian government and politics" Sahitya Bhawan Publications:AGRA 2008 page 733-746.
- Kalyani Shanker, "UF Coalition :Peeling Plaster", *The Hindustan Times*, November 2, 1997, p.12.
- The Hindustan Times*, September 30, 1997.
- Vijay Sanghvi, "Disastrous Steering", *The Hindustan Times (Sunday Magazine)*, July 27, 1997, p.5.
- Prasad, Kamala. *Coalition Politics in India*.
- Sartori, Giovanni, *Parties and Party System*, Combridge University Press, Combridge, 1976.
- Singh, Balbir, *State Politics in India*, Macmillan Publishers, New Delhi 1980.
- Sahni, N.C (ed.), *The Theory of Coalition*, Academic Publishing Corporations, Jalander, 1971.
- Singh, Mehindra Prasad and Anil Mishra, *Coalition Politics on India: Problems and Prospects*, Manohar Publishers, New Delhi, 2004.
- Sridharan, E., "Principles, ' Power and Coalition Politics in India: Lessons from Theory, Comparison and Recent History", in D.D Khanna and Gret Kueck (eds.), *Principles, Power and Politics*, Macmillan, New Delhi, 1990.
- Swaan Abram, De, *Coalition Theories and Government formation*, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Tiwan. S. S., "Search," *A Journal Art, Humanities and management vol-01*, 2007 DDCE, OTKAL university Bhubanashwar, India" PP23-31.
- Wakhloo, Khem Lata, *Kashmir: Behind the White Curtain (1972-1971)*, Konark Publishes, Delhi, 1992.
- The journal of parlimentary information*, vol.XLVI No.3, September 2000, p.388.
- K.K.Katyal, "Making Coalitions work", *The Hindu* (March 26, 2001).
- P.Raman, "Age of Coalitions", *The Hindustan Times*, April 11, 1997.
- Arun Kumar, *The Turning Point-1996 Poll Story* (Delhi, 1996), p.26.
- Kamala Prasad, "BJP and the Nation's Governance ", *Mainstream*, December 19, 1998, pp.4-11.
- Bhabani Sen Gupta, *India :Problems of Governance* (Delhi, 1996), p.382.
- Qasim Mir. *My Life and Times* (New Delhi, 1992).
- Raj, Hans, *Indian Government and Politics*, Cosmos Publications, New Delhi, 1992.
- Ricker, W.H. *The Theory of Political Coalitions*, New Heaven. 1962.
- Sahni, N.C (ed.), *The Theory of Coalition*, Academic Publishing Corporations, Jalander, 1971.
- Sartori, Giovanni, *Parties and Party System*, Combridge University Press, Combridge, 1976.
- Singh, Balbir, *State Politics in India*, Macmillan Publishers, New Delhi 1980.
- Singh , M. P. and Rekha saxena, *India's Political Agenda* .
- Thakurta, Paranoy Guha and Reguraman Shankar, *A Time of coalitions: Divided we stand*, Sage Publications, New Delhi, 2004.